TO ALL PARTIES, BY AND THROUGH THEIR ATTORNEYS OF 1 2 **RECORD:** 3 Plaintiff Edgar Solis and Defendants State of California and Michael Bell hereby submit the following objections to exhibits which they may utilize during 4 5 trial. The parties reserve the right to supplement and/or amend these objections to exhibits. 6 7 8 Respectfully Submitted, DATED: September 24, 2024 9 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO LAW OFFICES OF GRECH & PACKER 10 Marcel F. Sincich 11 By: /<u>s</u>/ Dale K. Galipo Marcel F. Sincich 12 Trent C. Packer 13 Attorney for Plaintiff 14 DATED: September 24, 2024 **ROB BONTA** 15 Attorney General of California NORMAN MORRISON 16 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 17 18 David Klehm DAVID KLEHM 19 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant State of California (by 20 and through the California Highway Patrol) 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT RE. JOINT EXHIBIT LIST

ll				
Ex. #	Description	Objection ¹	Response to Objection	Date Admitted
		Videos		
1.	603 Hillmer Front	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	Yard Video	their right to object	the right to	
	(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 504)	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not provided the	relevant portions of	
		"relevant portions"	videos as necessary	
		of this exhibit.	based on testimony	
			given.	
2.	Screenshots from	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	603 Hillmer Video	their right to object	the right to	
		to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
		because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not produced or	screenshots as	
		identified the	necessary based on	
		screenshots that	testimony given.	
	C1 4 II'11 E +	comprise this exhibit.	D1 : .: cc	
3.	614 Hillmer Front	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	Yard Video 1	their right to object	the right to	
	(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 514)	because plaintiff has not provided the	only plans to admit relevant portions of	
		"relevant portions"	videos as necessary	
		of this exhibit.	based on testimony	
		or this exhibit.	given.	
4.	Screenshots from	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	614 Hillmer Front	their right to object	the right to	
	Yard Video 1	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
		because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not produced or	screenshots as	
		identified the	necessary based on	
		screenshots that	testimony given.	

¹ Plaintiff and Defendants reserve the right to object portion of documents listed in the following joint exhibit list that are objectionable pursuant to FRE 401, 403, 404, and 801.

26

27

28

1 E	Υ.		Response to	Date
2 #	Descrintion	Objection ¹	Objection	Admitted
		comprise this exhibit.	9	
3 5.	614 Hillmer Back	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
ı	Yard Video 1	their right to object	the right to	
	(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 524)	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not provided the	relevant portions of	
		"relevant portions"	videos as necessary	
		of this exhibit.	based on testimony	
6.	Screenshots from	Defendants reserve	given. Plaintiff reserves	
0.	614 Hillmer Back	their right to object	the right to	
	Yard Video 1	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	1 3 1 3 7 1 3 7 3	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not produced or	screenshots as	
		identified the	necessary based on	
		screenshots that	testimony given.	
		comprise this exhibit.		
7.	Deputy Waltermire	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	BWC Video	their right to object	the right to	
	(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 506)	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not provided the "relevant portions"	relevant portions of videos as necessary	
		of this exhibit.	based on testimony	
		of this camon.	given.	
8.	Screenshots from	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	Deputy Waltermire	their right to object	the right to	
	BWC Video	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
		because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not produced or	screenshots as	
		identified the	necessary based on	
		screenshots that	testimony given.	
	Canaat D-	comprise this exhibit.	Dlaintiff	
9.	Sergeant Paez	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	BWC Video (relevant portions	their right to object to this exhibit	the right to respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 507)	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
	011130 301)	not provided the	relevant portions of	
		"relevant portions"	videos as necessary	
;∥∟	1		1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111	

Screenshots from Sergeant Paez BWC Video 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2	of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to object to this exhibit.	based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to	Admitted
Sergeant Paez BWC Video 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to object to this exhibit.	given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Sergeant Paez BWC Video 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Sergeant Paez BWC Video 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
BWC Video 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	to this exhibit because plaintiff has not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	because plaintiff has not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	only plans to admit screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	not produced or identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	screenshots as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	identified the screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	screenshots that comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	comprise this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	Plaintiff reserves the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 2 (relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	their right to object to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	the right to respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
(relevant portions of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
of AGO 518) Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front	not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
614 Hillmer Front	not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
614 Hillmer Front	of this exhibit. Defendants reserve their right to object	based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves	
614 Hillmer Front	Defendants reserve their right to object	given. Plaintiff reserves	
614 Hillmer Front	their right to object	Plaintiff reserves	
614 Hillmer Front	their right to object		
		the right to	
Y ard Video 2	+ - + - - -		
	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	because plaintiff has not produced or	only plans to admit screenshots as	
	identified the	necessary based on	
	screenshots that	testimony given.	
	comprise this exhibit.	Simony Bivon.	
614 Hillmer Front	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
Yard Video 3	their right to object	the right to	
(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
of AGO 512)	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
	not provided the	relevant portions of	
		I	
	of this exhibit.		
C 1 C	Defend 4		
LIAIU VIUCU D	w uns exhibit	_	
1	because plaintiff has	I only highs to gamit I	
	(relevant portions	(relevant portions of AGO 512) to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Screenshots from 614 Hillmer Front Yard Video 3 to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit.	(relevant portions of AGO 512) to this exhibit because plaintiff has not provided the "relevant portions" of this exhibit. Screenshots from Defendants reserve their right to object to this exhibit respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit relevant portions of videos as necessary based on testimony given. Plaintiff reserves the right to

Ex.	Description	Objection ¹	Response to	Date
#	1		Objection	Admitted
		identified the screenshots that	necessary based on	
		comprise this exhibit.	testimony given.	
15.	614 Hillmer Back	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
15.	Yard Video 2	their right to object	the right to	
	(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 519)	because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
	,	not provided the	relevant portions of	
		"relevant portions"	videos as necessary	
		of this exhibit.	based on testimony	
			given.	
16.	Screenshots from	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	614 Hillmer Back Yard Video 2	their right to object to this exhibit	the right to	
	Taru video 2	because plaintiff has	respond. Plaintiff only plans to admit	
		not produced or	screenshots as	
		identified the	necessary based on	
		screenshots that	testimony given.	
		comprise this exhibit.		
17.	614 Hillmer Back	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	Yard Video 3	their right to object	the right to	
	(relevant portions	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
	of AGO 521)	because plaintiff has not provided the	only plans to admit relevant portions of	
		"relevant portions"	videos as necessary	
		of this exhibit.	based on testimony	
			given.	
18.	Screenshots from	Defendants reserve	Plaintiff reserves	
	614 Hillmer Back	their right to object	the right to	
	Yard Video 3	to this exhibit	respond. Plaintiff	
		because plaintiff has	only plans to admit	
		not produced or identified the	screenshots as	
		screenshots that	necessary based on testimony given.	
		comprise this exhibit.	commony given.	
		Law Enforcement Re-	cords	
19.	*Evidence Report	Defendants reserve	For impeachment	
	(relevant portions	their right to object	or to refresh	
	of AGO 382-391)	to this exhibit	recollection as	

1	Ex.	.	011 1	Response to	Date
2	#	Description	Objection ¹	Objection	Admitted
			because plaintiff has	indicated; relevant	
3			not provided the	portions to be used	
4			"relevant portions"	as necessary based	
			of this exhibit.	on testimony	
5				given.	
6	20.	*Photography and	Defendants reserve	For impeachment	
7		Video Evidence	their right to object	or to refresh	
7		Report	to this exhibit	recollection as	
8		(relevant portions	because plaintiff has	indicated; relevant	
9		of AGO 368-76)	not provided the	portions to be used	
			"relevant portions" of this exhibit.	as necessary based on testimony	
10			of this exhibit.	given.	
11	21.	*RCSD Report by	Defendants reserve	For impeachment	
	21.	Deputy Cline re	their right to object	or to refresh	
12		614 N. Hillmer Dr.	to this exhibit	recollection as	
13		(relevant portions	because plaintiff has	indicated; relevant	
14		of 039. 030222)	not provided the	portions to be used	
			"relevant portions"	as necessary based	
15			of this exhibit.	on testimony	
16				given.	
	22.	*RCSD Report by	Defendants reserve	For impeachment	
17		Deputy Kroll re	their right to object	or to refresh	
18		Forensic Report	to this exhibit	recollection as	
19		Processing the	because plaintiff has	indicated; relevant	
		Suspect Mustang	not provided the	portions to be used	
20		(relevant portions	"relevant portions"	as necessary based	
21		of 042. 051922)	of this exhibit.	on testimony	
$_{22}$			Photographs	given.	
22	23.	Photos of Bell	1 notographs		
23	25.	(AGO 727-729)			
24	24.	Photos of Bell Gun			
		(AGO 604, 606,			
25		687)			
26	25.	Photos of Paez			
27		(AGO 630-642,			
		750-53)			
28	26.	Photos of Sobaszek			

1	Ex.	Description	Objection ¹	Response to	Date
2	#	(AGO 643-650)		Objection	Admitted
3	27.	Photos of			
		Waltermire			
4		(AGO 693-726)			
5	28.	Photos of			
6		Waltermire Gun			
7	20	(AGO 679, 684)			
	29.	Photos of Stealth			
8		Explorer (AGO 530)			
9	30.	Photos of Green			
10		Mustang			
		(AGO 547-552,			
11		592, 595-96, 657)			
12	31.	Photos of 633			
13		Hillmer (AGO 629, 658,			
14		660, 662, 664, 666-			
		67)			
15	32.	Photos of 614			
16		Hillmer			
17		(AGO 852-54,			
18	33.	1007-08) Photos of Bell First	Objection to the	Undisputed by	
		Volley	description of this	Defendant Bell's	
19		(AGO 888-92, 895,	Exhibit as Bell First	testimony that he	
20		955-57, 959-61,	Volley-	fired two volleys;	
21		963, 965, 967-68,	Inflammatory and	listed photos are	
		971-72, 975-76,	Inaccurate.	relevant and	
22		978-81, 986-87, 989, 991, 993-97,	FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	accurate evidence of trajectory of fire	
23		1000-01, 1003-04,	foundation.	for first volley of	
24		1028, 1032, 1034-		shots fired.	
25		36, 1038-39, 1146,			
	2.1	1148)	01:	TT 1' . 11	
26	34.	Photos of Bell	Objection to the	Undisputed by Defendant Bell's	
27		Second Volley (AGO 896-98, 902-	description of this Exhibit as Bell	testimony that he	
28		04, 1011, 1041,	Second Volley.	fired two volleys;	
		· / / /	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

1	Ex.	Description	Objection ¹	Response to	Date
2	#	1046, 1079-80,	FRE 602 & 611	Objection listed photos are	Admitted
3		1040, 1079-80,	speculation, lacks	relevant and	
		1101, 1104-05,	foundation.	accurate evidence	
4		1110-11, 1114,		of trajectory of fire	
5		1116, 1121, 1130,		for second volley	
6		1133, 1137-38,		of shots fired.	
7	25	1141, 1143-44)			
	35.	Photos of Solis in Hospital			
8		(AGO 765-66,			
9		1221-33)			
10	36.	Photos of Edgar	FRE 403 unduly	Relevant to	
11		Solis	prejudicial,	damages, not	
		(P 4064-4084)	cumulative; FRE 602	outweighed by	
12			& 611 speculation, lacks foundation.	prejudice; foundation to be	
13			lacks foundation.	given by Plaintiff.	
14	37.	GLA Initial Scene	Objection to term	Relevant as	
		Assessment	Scene Assessment as	accurate	
15		(produced in Expert	these were not taken	description of	
16		Disclosures)	at the scene on the	scene not provided	
17			date of the incident. These are	by investigators reports; relevant	
18			demonstrative	and not hearsay as	
			exhibits not	impeachment of	
19			evidence.	Defendant Bell's	
20				testimony;	
21			FRE 801 hearsay.	foundation given	
			FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE	by Plaintiff's investigator who	
22			403 unduly	conducted the	
23			prejudicial,	scene assessment.	
24			cumulative; FRE 602		
25			& 611 speculation,		
	20	CI A C1 C	lacks foundation.	Delevent	
26	38.	GLA Second Scene Assessment	Objection to term Scene Assessment as	Relevant as accurate	
27		(produced in Expert	these were not taken	description of	
28		Disclosures)	at the scene on the	scene not provided	
		/	1	1	

1	Ex.	Description	Objection ¹	Response to Objection	Date Admitted
2	#		date of the incident.	by investigators	Aumitteu
$_{3}\Vert$			These are	reports; relevant	
			demonstrative	and not hearsay as	
4			exhibits not	impeachment of	
5			evidence.	Defendant Bell's	
6			FRE 801 hearsay.	testimony;	
			FRE 401 irrelevant	foundation given	
7			info. unknown; FRE	by Plaintiff's	
$8 \parallel$			403 unduly	investigator who	
			prejudicial,	conducted the	
9			cumulative; FRE 602	scene assessment.	
0			& 611 speculation,		
$_{1}\ $			lacks foundation.		
	39.	GLA Investigation	Objection to term	Relevant as	
2	37.	Scene Photos	Scene Assessment as	accurate	
3		(produced in Expert	these were not taken	description of	
$_{4}\parallel$		Disclosures)	at the scene on the	scene not provided	
		,	date of the incident.	by investigators	
5			These are	reports; relevant	
6			demonstrative	and not hearsay as	
			exhibits not	impeachment of	
7			evidence.	Defendant Bell's	
8			FRE 801 hearsay.	testimony; no	
9			FRE 401 irrelevant	hearsay included;	
			info. unknown; FRE	foundation given by Plaintiff's	
$0 \parallel$			403 unduly prejudicial,	investigator who	
1			cumulative; FRE 602	conducted the	
2			& 611 speculation,	scene assessment.	
			lacks foundation.		
3	40.	Maps Marked	Objection. These	FRE 801(d)(2)(a),	
24		During Statements	markings on maps	Party opponent	
		(AGO 335-336)	are demonstrative	admission.	
25			exhibits not	Relevant to	
6			evidence.	Defendant's	
7			FRE 801 hearsay.	testimony and	
			FRE 401 irrelevant	claimed description	
8			info. unknown; FRE	of the incident.	

1	Ex.			Response to	Date
	#	Description	Objection ¹	Objection Control Control	Admitted
2			403 unduly		
3			prejudicial,		
4			cumulative; FRE 602		
5			& 611 speculation,		
3	41.	Sat Booz Dono	lacks foundation. Objection. This is not	FRE 801, Not	
6	41.	Sgt. Paez Depo Exhibit 2	an accurate depiction	hearsay;	
7		L'Amore 2	of the scene at the	impeachment of	
8			time of the incident	Defendant;	
			and is a	relevant to position	
9			demonstrative	of parties at the	
10			exhibit not evidence.	time of the shots	
11			FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	fired; based on person knowledge	
12			info. unknown; FRE	of deponent.	
			403 unduly	1	
13			prejudicial,		
14			cumulative; FRE 602		
15			& 611 speculation, lacks foundation.		
16	42.	Det. Sobaszek	Objection. This is not	FRE 801, Not	
		Depo Exhibit 4	an accurate depiction	hearsay; relevant to	
17			of the scene at the	position of parties	
18			time of the incident	at the time of the	
19			and is a demonstrative	shots fired; based	
			exhibit not evidence.	on person knowledge of	
20			FRE 801 hearsay.	deponent.	
21			FRE 401 irrelevant	1	
22			info. unknown; FRE		
23			403 unduly		
			prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602		
24			& 611 speculation,		
25			lacks foundation.		
26	43.	Demonstrative	Objection This is a	Listed as	
27		Yellow Dummy	demonstrative	demonstrative. Not	
		Gun	exhibit not evidence.	hearsay. Not	
28			FRE 801 hearsay.	testimonial.	

1	Ex. #	Description	Objection ¹	Response to Objection	Date Admitted
2			FRE 401 irrelevant	y	
3			info. unknown; FRE		
4			403 unduly		
5			prejudicial,		
			cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation,		
6			lacks foundation.		
7	44.	Demonstrative	Objection. This is	Listed as	
8		Fence	inflammatory and	demonstrative. Not	
			inaccurate. Objection	hearsay. Not	
9			This is a	testimonial.	
10			demonstrative		
11			exhibit not evidence. FRE 801 hearsay.		
			FRE 401 irrelevant		
12			info. unknown; FRE		
13			403 unduly		
14			prejudicial,		
15			cumulative; FRE 602		
			& 611 speculation, lacks foundation.		
16			Plaintiff's Medical Re	cords	
17	45.	RUHS Medical	Traintin's Medical Re	Corus	
18		Records			
		(selected from P 1-			
19		2625)			
20	46.	Riverside Medical			
21		Records			
		(selected from P 2625-2854, 2984-			
22		4063)			
23	47.	High Desert			
24		Medical Records			
25		(selected from P			
	40	2855-2892)			
26	48.	Wasco Medical Records			
27		(selected from			
28		P2893-2983)			
_		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3	1	l	<u> </u>

1	Ex.			Response to	Date	
	#	Description	Objection ¹	Objection Objection	Admitted	
2	49.	CDCR Medical				
3		Records				
4		(selected from				
5	7.0	4085-8899)	EDE 402 EDE 402	D1 : .: CC 1 1		
3	50.	AMR Records	FRE 403 FRE 403	Plaintiff produced these records		
6		(selected from P 8890-8957)	unduly prejudicial. Plaintiff just	shortly after they		
7		(00)0-0/3/)	produced these on	were received.		
8			September 20.	Defendants were		
			Defendants have not	on notice that there		
9			had an opportunity to	was emergency		
10			depose the third	medical case, there		
11			parties referenced in	is no prejudice		
			these records.	suffered, yet highly relevant to		
12				damages.		
13	51.	High Desert		- ummugus.		
14		Imaging				
		(P 4085)				
15	52.	RUHS Imaging				
16		(P 4086)	 ficer Statements to De	tootis:oo%		
17	53.	Officer Bell CIIT	Objection.	For impeachment		
18		Statement	Confidential	or to refresh		
		(relevant portions	compelled statement	recollection as		
19		of AGO 497)	of a Law	indicated; does not		
20			Enforcement Officer.	qualify for a		
21				confidential		
	5.1	Tuangament of	Ohioation	designation.		
22	54.	Transcript of Officer Bell CIIT	Objection. Confidential	For impeachment or to refresh		
23		Statement	compelled statement	recollection as		
24		(relevant portions	of a Law	indicated; does not		
		AGO 68-161)	Enforcement Officer.	qualify for a		
25				confidential		
26		0.00	01:	designation.		
27	55.	Officer Bell CHP	Objection.	For impeachment		
28		Statement (relevant portions	Confidential compelled statement	or to refresh recollection as		
20		(Televani portions	compened statement	reconcendir as		
	12 0 1 500 00515 11011 100					

Ex.	Description	Objection ¹	Response to	Date
#	-	,	Objection in disease and	Admitted
	of AGO 500)	of a Law Enforcement Officer.	indicated; does not qualify for a	
		Emorcement Officer.	confidential	
			designation.	
56.	Transcript of	Objection.	For impeachment	
	Officer Bell CHP	Confidential	or to refresh	
	Statement	compelled statement	recollection as	
	(relevant portions	of a Law	indicated; does not	
	AGO 162-192)	Enforcement Officer.	qualify for a	
			confidential	
			designation.	
57.	Deputy Waltermire	Objection. Hearsay.	For impeachment	
	Statement	Plaintiff has not	or to refresh	
	(relevant portions	shown the witness is	recollection as	
	of AGO 499)	unavailable to testify.	indicated.	
58.	Transcript of	FRE 801 hearsay. Objection. Hearsay.	For impeachment	
56.	Deputy Waltermire	Plaintiff has not	or to refresh	
	Statement Statement	shown the witness is	recollection as	
	(relevant portions	unavailable to testify.	indicated.	
	of AGO 193-248)	FRE 801 hearsay.		
59.	Officer Sobaszek	Objection. Hearsay.	For impeachment	
	Statement	Plaintiff has not	or to refresh	
	(relevant portion	shown the witness is	recollection as	
	AGP 501)	unavailable to testify.	indicated.	
(0	T	FRE 801 hearsay.	Г 1	
60.	Transcript of	Objection. Hearsay.	For impeachment	
	Officer Sobaszek Statement	Plaintiff has not shown the witness is	or to refresh recollection as	
	(relevant portions	unavailable to testify.	indicated.	
	of AGO 294-300)	FRE 801 hearsay.	marcated.	
61.	Sgt. Paez Statement	Objection. Hearsay.	For impeachment	
	(relevant portions	Plaintiff has not	or to refresh	
	of AGO 502)	shown the witness is	recollection as	
	,	unavailable to testify.	indicated.	
		FRE 801 hearsay.		
62.	Transcript of Sgt.	Objection. Hearsay.	For impeachment	
	Paez Statement	Plaintiff has not	or to refresh	
	(relevant portions	shown the witness is	recollection as	

Ex. #	Description	Objection ¹	Response to Objection	Date Admitted	
	of AGO 301-334)	unavailable to testify.	indicated.		
		FRE 801 hearsay.			
* For impeachment or to refresh recollection.					

1		Tr. 0	7 / 6 / 7 70 / 6 7 7	D 19194	
2	No		endants' Additional		Duling
3	No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection	Response	Ruling
4	101.	Composite Videos of Multiple individual	FRE 401, 403, 801; untimely, FRCP 26.		
5	1.02	homeowner videos	DMII a 1 4	occ in n	
6	102.	Critical Incident Investigation - C22-	P MILs 1-4. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)	
7		601-001 [AGO 1-7]	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.	
8			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).	
9			cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's	
10			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell	
11			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply	
12			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.	
13			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,	
14			improper opinion.	2008 WL	
15				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-	
16				873 CAS (VBKX),	
17				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).	
18				Corroborates	
19				defendant Officer Bell's observations	
20				that plaintiff was	
21				actively resisting and evading arrest	
22				because plaintiff	
23				was aware that he would be sent back	
24				to prison due to	
25				being a felon in possession of a	
26				firearm and	
27				narcotics.	
28	103.	Summary of Incident	P MILs 1-4.	Official Record.	

1		[AGO 10-13]	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
2			FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
3			info. unknown;	Business Record.
			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
4			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
5			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
6			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
7			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
8			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies. Phillips v. Bratton,
9			improper opinion.	2008 WL
10			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
				(Case No. CV 07-
11				873 CAS (VBKX),
12				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
13				2008). Corroborates
				defendant Officer
14				Bell's observations
15				that plaintiff was
16				actively resisting
17				and evading arrest
				because plaintiff was aware that he
18				would be sent back
19				to prison due to
20				being a felon in
21				possession of a
				firearm and narcotics.
22				narcotics.
23	104.	Scene Description	P MILs 1-4.	Official Record.
24		[AGO 14-18]	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
25			FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii).
			FRE 403 unduly	Business Record.
26			prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
27			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
28			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
			character evidence;	
- 1	1		17 (Cose No. 5.22 er 00515 HDW IDD

1			FRE 602 & 611	that Officer Bell
2			speculation, lacks	did not comply
3			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	with CHP's Policies.
			improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton,
4				2008 WL
5				11409876, at *10
6				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
7				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
8				2008).
9	105	G D C1	DMII 1 4	0.07 : 1.7
	105.	Suspect's Profile [AGO 21-41]	P MILs 1-4. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
10		[[1100 21-41]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
11			info. unknown;	Business Record.
12			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).
13			cumulative; FRE	Corroborates defendant Officer
14			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
15			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	actively resisting
16			foundation; FRE	and evading arrest because plaintiff
17			701 & 702	was aware that he
18			improper opinion.	would be sent back
19				to prison due to being a felon in
20				possession of a
				firearm and
21	106	G : G	DMII 1	narcotics.
22	106.	Crime Scene Evidence Collection	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
23		& Storage [AGO 43]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
24			info. unknown;	Business Record.
25			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).
26			cumulative; FRE	Corroborates defendant Officer
			602 & 611	Bell's observations
27			speculation, lacks	that plaintiff was
28			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	actively resisting
			10 (Case No. 5.22 ev. 00515 HDV IDD

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	107.	Injury Descriptions [AGO 44] Forensic Examination [AGO 45]	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Official Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates	
18 19			<u> </u>	Corroborates defendant Officer	
20			speculation, lacks	Bell's observations that plaintiff was	
21			foundation.	actively resisting	
22				and evading arrest because plaintiff	
23				was aware that he	
24				would be sent back to prison due to	
25				being a felon in	
26				possession of a firearm and	
27	100			narcotics.	
28	109.	Maps [AGO 335- 336]	FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)	
			19 (mended Joint Exhibit		HDV-JPR

1				and (iii).
2				Business Record.
3				FRE 803(6)(B).
	110.	Diagrams [AGO 367]	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
4			FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
5			FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
6			info. unknown;	Business Record.
7			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).
			cumulative; FRE	
8			602 & 611	
9			speculation, lacks	
10	111	D1 4 1 1	foundation. P MIL 1.	OCC : 1 D 1
11	111.	Photography and Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		CONFIDENTIAL	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
12		[AGO 368-376]	info. unknown;	Business Record.
13			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).
14			cumulative; FRE	Corroborates defendant Officer
15			602 & 611	Bell's observations
			speculation, lacks	that plaintiff was
16			foundation.	actively resisting
17				and evading arrest
18				because plaintiff was aware that he
19				was aware that he would be sent back
				to prison due to
20				being a felon in
21				possession of a
22				firearm and narcotics.
23	112.	Receipt for Property	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
24		Booked into	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		Evidence	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
25		[AGO 379-391]	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record.
26			prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates
27			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
28			602 & 611	Bell's observations
40			speculation, lacks	that plaintiff was
			20	2 N 522 00515 HDW IDD

1			foundation.	actively resisting
2				and evading arrest
				because plaintiff
3				was aware that he
4				would be sent back to prison due to
5				being a felon in
				possession of a
6				firearm and
7				narcotics.
8	113.	Blood Alcohol	P MILs 1-4.	Official Record.
		Toxicology Report	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
9		[AGO 392-394]	info. unknown;	and (iii).
10			FRE 403 unduly	Business Record.
11			prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates
			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
12			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
13			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
14			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
15			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	and evading arrest
			701 & 702	because plaintiff was aware that he
16			improper opinion.	was aware that he would be sent back
17				to prison due to
18				being a felon in
				possession of a
19				firearm and
20				narcotics.
21				Also, is relevant to plaintiff's damages
22				because a person
				who did not have
23				methamphetamine
24				and PCP in their
25				body would most
				likely not have continued to evade
26				and resist arrest
27				after being shot
28				with a .40 caliber

bullet. Crime Scene logs	
FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. PMIL 1. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	Ì
FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation. FRE 803(8)(A)(1) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. FRE 803(8)(A)(1) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	ļ
info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation. Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 115. Press Release [AGO 408-450] P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) Business Record.	
FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. PMIL 1. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation. Press Release [AGO 408-450] PMIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; PNE 400 ml.	
6 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation. 8 9 10 10 11 speculation. 10 12 13	
8 Speculation, lacks foundation. Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
foundation. foundation. foundation. foundation. foundation. foundation. foundation. actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. foundation. foundat	
and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 11	
because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 115. Press Release [AGO 408-450] P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 115 12 11	
being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 115. Press Release [AGO 408-450] P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
possession of a firearm and narcotics. 115 Press Release [AGO 408-450] 16 PMIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
14 115. Press Release [AGO 408-450] P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
115. Press Release [AGO 408-450] P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record.	
FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; Business Record.	
info. unknown; Business Record.	
FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, FRE 803(6)(B).	
18 cumulative; FRE	
19 602 & 611	
speculation, lacks	
foundation.	
Findings [ACO 4511 FRE 801 hearsay. EDE 902(9)(A)(i)	
FRE 401 irrelevant and (iii).	
info. unknown; Business Record.	
FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which	
prejudicial, Findings which cumulative; FRE dispute Plaintiff's	
404 impermissible appart's aninian	
character evidence; that Officer Bell	
FRE 602 & 611 did not comply	
speculation, lacks foundation; FRE with CHP's Policies.	
22 Case No.: 5:23-cv-00515-H	IDV_IDD

1			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
2			improper opinion	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
3				(Case No. CV 07-
				873 CAS (VBKX),
4				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
5				2008).
6	117	Criminal Casa [ACO	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
7	117.	Criminal Case [AGO 452]	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
8		132]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
			info. unknown;	Business Record.
9			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).
10			cumulative; FRE	Corroborates defendant Officer
11			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
12			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
13			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	actively resisting
			foundation; FRE	and evading arrest
14			701 & 702	because plaintiff was aware that he
15			improper opinion	would be sent back
16				to prison due to
17				being a felon in
				possession of a
18				firearm and narcotics
19	118.	Policy and Procedure	P MILs 1-5.	Official Record.
20		Evaluation Report	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
21		CONFIDENTIAL	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii).
		[AGO 488-496]	FRE 403 unduly	Business Record.
22			prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
23			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
24			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
25			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	that Officer Bell
			speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
26			foundation; FRE	Policies.
27			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
28			improper opinion.	2008 WL

1				11409876, at *10
2				(Case No. CV 07-
3				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
				2008).
4				,
5	119.	Hemet PD Dispatch	P MILs 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record.
6		CONFIDENTIAL .wav [AGO 498]	FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
7		INITIAL	info. unknown;	Business Record.
8		DISCLOSURES 1	FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B).
9			cumulative; FRE	
			602 & 611 lacks	
10	120	(02 11:11) 1017	foundation.	2.5
11	120.	603 Hillmer.MOV [AGO 504]	Duplicative of Exh. No. 1. FRE 401,	May not be duplicative because
12		[/100 504]	403, 801.	plaintiff has not
13				produced the
14				"relevant portions"
15				of plaintiff's exhibit.
16	121.	603 Hilmer-	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction
17		SPANISH.mp4 [AGO 505]		of the scene at the time of the
18		[1100 303]		incident.
	122.	2022-03-02_16-09-	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be
19		20.AVI [AGO 506]	No. 7. FRE 401, 403, 801.	duplicative because plaintiff has not
20			403, 601.	produced the
21				"relevant portions"
22				of plaintiff's exhibit.
23	123.	Axon_Body_3_Video	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be
24		_2022-03-02_1609_ 	No. 9. FRE 401,	duplicative because
25		X6033338V .mp4 Footage from Hemet	403, 801.	plaintiff has not produced the
26		Police Department		"relevant portions"
27		Sergeant Paez BWC [AGO 507]		of plaintiff's exhibit.
28		[AUU 30/]		CAIHUIL.
40				l l

124.	AYPV2506.MP4 Front Vard	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts the scene on the
	Surveillance Multiple		date of the incident
			showing the police response.
	[AGO 508] INITIAL		Top siles.
	DISCLOSURES 2A		
125.		FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts the scene on the
	Surveillance Video		date of the incident
	_		showing the police collecting evidence
	[AGO 509] INITIAL		at the scene.
	DISCLOSURES 26		
126.	DHCY0843.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts the scene on the
	Surveillance Officers		date of the incident
	Locating Bullet Holes		showing the police collecting evidence
	[AGO 510] INITIAL		at the scene.
	DISCLOSURES 2a		
127.	FDDR7512.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts
	Front Yard Surveillance Video		the scene on the date of the incident
	Additional Officers		showing the police
			response.
	DISCLOSURES 2a		
128.	HFPF8390.MP4	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be
	Front Yard	No. 13. FRE 401,	duplicative because
		403, 801.	plaintiff has not produced the
i	Arriving at the Scene		-
	125.	Front Yard Surveillance Multiple Vehicles Parked In Front of Residence [AGO 508] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2A 125. BKSJ2054.MP4 Backyard Surveillance Video Officers Walking through the Backyard [AGO 509] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2b 126. DHCY0843.MP4 Front Yard Surveillance Officers Locating Bullet Holes After the Incident [AGO 510] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a 127. FDDR7512.MP4 Front Yard Surveillance Video Additional Officers Arriving at the Scene [AGO 511] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a	Front Yard Surveillance Multiple Vehicles Parked In Front of Residence [AGO 508] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2A 125. BKSJ2054.MP4 Backyard Surveillance Video Officers Walking through the Backyard [AGO 509] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2b 126. DHCY0843.MP4 Front Yard Surveillance Officers Locating Bullet Holes After the Incident [AGO 510] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a 127. FDDR7512.MP4 Front Yard Surveillance Video Additional Officers Arriving at the Scene [AGO 511] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a 128. HFPF8390.MP4 Front Yard Surveillance Duplicative of Exh. No. 13. FRE 401, 403, 801.

Case 5:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR Document 75 Filed 09/24/24 Page 26 of 61 Page ID #:1021

1		Immediately After		of plaintiff's	
2		the Incident Occurred [AGO 512] INITIAL		exhibit.	
3		DISCLOSURES 2a			
4	129.	HMKO9684.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts	
5	120.	Front Yard	1112 101, 103, 001.	the scene on the	
6		Surveillance Multiple Patrol Vehicle Parked		date of the incident	
7		in Front of the		showing the police response.	
8		Residence [AGO			
9		513] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a			
10					
11	130.	KTKM2053.MP4	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be	
12		Front Yard	No. 3. FRE 401,	duplicative because	
13		Surveillance Videos Officer in Foot	403, 801.	plaintiff has not produced the	
14		Pursuit Running		"relevant portions"	
15		Toward the Front of the Residence [AGO		of plaintiff's exhibit.	
16		514] INITIAL		CAIIIOIt.	
17		DISCLOSURES 2a			
18					
19	131.	LIGD2098.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts	
20		Front Yard Surveillance Videos		the scene on the date of the incident	
		Multiple Patrol		showing the police	
21		Vehicles Parked in Front of Residence		response.	
22		[AGO 515] INITIAL			
23		DISCLOSURES 2a			
24	132.	LMQZ6399.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts	
25		Front Yard		the scene on the	
26		Surveillance Videos Officer Walking		date of the incident showing the police	
27		Through the Front		response.	
28		Yard [AGO 516]			

Case	:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR	Document 75	Filed 09/24/24	Page 27 of 61	Page ID
		#:1022			

1		INITIAL			
2		DISCLOSURES 2a			
3					
4	133.	MCII8645.MP4 Front Yard Surveillance	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts the scene on the	
5		Videos Officers		date of the incident	
6		Walking Through the Front Yard After the		showing the police	
7		Caution Tape was Put		response.	
8		Up [AGO 517] INITIAL			
9		DISCLOSURES 2a			
10					
11	134.	MMXM3627.MP4	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be	
12		Front Yard Surveillance Videos	No. 11. FRE 401, 403, 801.	duplicative because plaintiff has not	
13		Officers Running	403, 001.	produced the	
14		Towards the Shooting Scene with Multiple		"relevant portions" of plaintiff's	
15		Guns Shots Heard		exhibit.	
16		[AGO 518] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a			
17					
18	135.	MRAK2661.MP4	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be	
19		Backyard	No. 15. FRE 401,	duplicative because	
20		Surveillance Videos Officers Talking in	403, 801.	plaintiff has not produced the	
21		Backyard [AGO 519]		"relevant portions"	
22		INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2b		of plaintiff's exhibit.	
23					
24	136.	NCWE2879.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurately depicts	
25		Backyard	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the scene on the	
26		Surveillance Videos Officers Walking		date of the incident showing the police	
27 28		through Backyard [AGO 520] INITIAL		response.	
20					

1		DISCLOSURES 2b			
2					
3	137.	NDBQ2555.MP4	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be	
4		Backyard	No. 17. FRE 401,	duplicative because	
5		Surveillance Video Officers Walking	403, 801.	plaintiff has not produced the	
6		through the Backyard		"relevant portions"	
7		[AGO 521] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2b		of plaintiff's exhibit.	
8		DISCLOSURES 20		CAMOIL.	
9	138.	NNCY2467.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction of the scene on the	
10		Backyard Surveillance Video		date of the	
		Officer Walking		incident.	
11		through the Backyard [AGO 522] INITIAL			
12		DISCLOSURES 2b			
13	139.	OJMQ5886.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	A agurata daniation	
14	139.	Backyard	TRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction of the scene on the	
15		Surveillance Video		date of the	
16		Officer Walking through the Backyard		incident.	
17		and using the Radio			
18		to Request Additional Officer to the Front			
19		Yard [AGO 523]			
20		INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2b			
21		DISCLOSURES 20			
22	140.	UGGK1245.MP4	Duplicative of Exh.	May not be	
23		Backyard Surveillance Videos	No. 5. FRE 401, 403, 801.	duplicative because plaintiff has not	
24		Officers with Guns		produced the	
25		Drawn and Instructing the		"relevant portions" of plaintiff's	
26		Suspect to Drop the		exhibit.	
27		Gun. Multiple Gun Shots Heard [AGO			
28		524] INITIAL			

1		DISCLOSURES 2b		
2	141.	VVPM1227.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction
3	171.	Backyard	1 KL 401, 403, 601.	of the scene on the
4		Surveillance Officer		date of the incident
5		is Heard Instructing the Suspect to Get on		and giving commands to
		the Ground [AGO		plaintiff.
6		525] INITIAL		
7		DISCLOSURES 2b		
8	142.	WNRG1367.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction
9	.2.	Front Yard	1101, 102, 001.	of the scene on the
10		Surveillance Video		date of the incident
11		Officer Returning to the Patrol Vehicle to		and in the process of providing
12		Obtain Medical		medical aid to
13		Equipment [AGO		plaintiff.
		526] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a		
14		DISCLOSURES 2a		
15	143.	WRLN1188.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction
16		Front Yard Surveillance Video		of the scene on the date of the
17		Multiple Officers		incident.
18		Walking through the		
19		Front Yard [AGO 527] INITIAL		
20		DISCLOSURES 2a		
21	144.	XBDK3186.MP4 Front Yard	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction of the scene on the
22		Surveillance Video		date of the
23		Officer Walking		incident.
24		through the Front		
25		Yard [AGO 528] INITIAL		
26		DISCLOSURES 2a		
27	145.	XMDR0023.MP4	FRE 401, 403, 801.	Accurate depiction
28		Backyard Surveillance Video		of the scene on the date of the
20	L	Sur vernance video		date of the

Case 5:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR Document 75 Filed 09/24/24 Page 30 of 61 Page ID #:1025

1		Officers Walking		incident.
2		through the Backyard		
3		[AGO 529] INITIAL DISCLOSURES 2a		
4		DISCLOSURES 24		
	1.1.5			200 1 1 7
5	146.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
6		Combined Photos 1 Subset		FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
7		CONFIDENTIAL		Business Record.
o		[AGO 530-546]		FRE 803(6)(B).
8				Accurate depiction
9				of the scene on the
10				date of the
11	1.47	OTG (55 0202022	EDE 401 402	incident.
	147.	OIS-655-03022022 Combined Photos 1	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
12		[AGO 547-603]		and (iii).
13		[1100 5 17 005]		Business Record.
14				FRE 803(6)(B).
				Accurate depiction
15				of the scene on the
16				date of the
17	148.	OIC (55 02022022	EDE 401 402	incident.
	148.	OIS-655-03022022 Combine Photos 2	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
18		Subset		and (iii).
19		CONFIDENTIAL		Business Record.
20		[AGO 604-629]		FRE 803(6)(B).
21				Accurate depiction
				of the scene on the date of the
22				incident.
23	149.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
24		Combined Photos 2		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		[AGO 630-678]		and (iii).
25				Business Record.
26				FRE 803(6)(B).
27				Accurate depiction of the scene on the
28				date of the
40	<u> </u>			date of the

1				incident.
2	150.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
		Combined Photos 3		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
3		Subset		and (iii). Business Record.
4		CONFIDENTIAL [AGO 679-687]		FRE 803(6)(B).
5		[AGO 079-007]		Accurate depiction
				of the scene on the
6				date of the
7				incident.
8	151.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
9		Combined Photos 3		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		[AGO 688-753]		and (iii).
10				Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
11				Accurate depiction
12				of the scene on the
				date of the
13				incident.
14	152.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
15		Combined Photos 3 [AGO 688-753]		FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
		[AGO 000-755]		Business Record.
16				FRE 803(6)(B).
17				Accurate depiction
18				of the scene on the
19				date of the
	1.52	OIG (55 02022022	EDE 401 402	incident.
20	153.	OIS-655-03022022 Combine Photos 4	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
21		Subset		and (iii).
22		CONFIDENTIAL		Business Record.
		[AGO 754-764]		FRE 803(6)(B).
23				Accurate depiction
24				of the scene on the
25				date of the incident.
26	154.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
		Combined Photos 4	101, 100.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
27		[AGO 765-828]		and (iii).
28				Business Record.

1				FRE 803(6)(B).
2				Accurate depiction
				of the scene on the
3				date of the
4				incident.
	155.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
5		Combined Photos 5		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
6		Subset		and (iii).
		CONFIDENTIAL		Business Record.
7		[AGO 829-848]		FRE 803(6)(B).
8				Accurate depiction
9				of the scene on the
9				date of the
10	156.	OIC (55 02022022	EDE 401 402	incident.
11	130.	OIS-655-03022022 Combined Photos 5	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		[AGO 849-881]		and (iii).
12		[AGO 047-001]		Business Record.
13				FRE 803(6)(B).
14				Accurate depiction
14				of the scene on the
15				date of the
16				incident.
	157.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
17		Combined Photos 6		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
18		Subset		and (iii).
10		CONFIDENTIAL		Business Record.
19		[AGO 882-886]		FRE 803(6)(B).
20				Accurate depiction
21				of the scene on the date of the
				incident.
22	158.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
23	150.	Combined Photos 6	1 KL 401, 403.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
24		[AGO 887-956]		and (iii).
				Business Record.
25				FRE 803(6)(B).
26				Accurate depiction
				of the scene on the
27				date of the
28				incident.

1	159.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
2		Combined Photos 7		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		[AGO 957-1006]		and (iii).
3				Business Record.
4				FRE 803(6)(B).
_				Accurate depiction
5				of the scene on the
6				date of the incident.
7	160.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
	100.	Combined Photos 8	TKL 401, 403.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
8		Subset		and (iii).
9		CONFIDENTIAL		Business Record.
10		[AGO 1007-1010]		FRE 803(6)(B).
				Accurate depiction
11				of the scene on the
12				date of the
	4 6 4			incident.
13	161.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
14		Combined Photos 8		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
15		[AGO 1011-1070]		and (iii). Business Record.
				FRE 803(6)(B).
16				Accurate depiction
17				of the scene on the
18				date of the
				incident.
19	162.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	Official Record.
20		Combined Photos 9		FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
21		[AGO 1071-1145]		and (iii). Business Record.
22				FRE 803(6)(B).
23				Accurate depiction
				of the scene on the
24				date of the
25	163.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	incident. Official Record.
26	103.	Combined Photos	1 KL 701, 703.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
		[AGO 1146-1219]		and (iii).
27		,		Business Record.
28				FRE 803(6)(B).

1				Accurate depiction
2				of the scene on the
3				date of the
3	164.	OIS-655-03022022	FRE 401, 403.	incident. Official Record.
4	104.	Combined Photos 11	TRE 401, 403.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
5		CONFIDENTIAL		and (iii).
6		[AGO 1220-1236]		Business Record.
6				FRE 803(6)(B).
7				Accurate depiction
8				of the scene on the
9				date of the
	165.	Michael Bell	P MILs 1.	incident. Official Record.
10	103.	Training Records	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
11		[AGO 1237-1273]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
12			info. unknown;	Business Record.
			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
13			prejudicial,	Findings which
14			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
15			602 & 611 lacks foundation.	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
			Touridation.	did not comply
16				with CHP's
17				Policies.
18				Phillips v. Bratton,
19				2008 WL
				11409876, at *10
20				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
21				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
22				2008).
				,
23	166.	CH1 HPM 70.6,	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
24		Chapter 1 Use of	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
25		Force [AGO 1274- 1295]	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
26		1293]	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
			prejudicial,	Findings which
27			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
28			404 impermissible	expert's opinion

		character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion.	that Officer Bell did not comply with CHP's Policies. Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL 11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
167.	LD20 TTS Training	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
	and Testing Specifications for	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
	_	· ·	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
	Force/Deescalation,	prejudicial,	Findings which
		-	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
	1304]	character evidence;	that Officer Bell
			did not comply with CHP's
		foundation; FRE	Policies.
			Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
		ampropor opanion.	11409876, at *10
			(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
			C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
			2008).
168.	LD20-MOD 2 Use of	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
	Tactics Unit Power	FRE 801 nearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
	Point[AGO 1305]	info. unknown;	Business Record.
		prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
		cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
		character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
		and Testing Specifications for Learning Domain #20 Use of Force/Deescalation, dated October 1, 2020 [AGO 1296- 1304] 168. LD20-MOD 2 Use of Force Enforcement Tactics Unit Power	FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 168. LD20-MOD 2 Use of Force Enforcement Tactics Unit Power Point[AGO 1305] FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible

1			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
2			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
3			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
4			improper opinion.	2008 WL
				11409876, at *10
5				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
6				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
7				2008).
8	169.	LD20-MOD 3 [AGO	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
9	10).	1306]	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
10		_	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
11			info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
12			prejudicial,	Findings which
			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
13			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
14			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
15			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
16			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies.
17			improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
18				11409876, at *10
19				(Case No. CV 07-
20				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
				2008).
21	170	L D20 MOD 4 [A CO	D MIL 1	O.C 1 D 1
22	170.	LD20-MOD 4 [AGO 1307]	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
23		,	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
24			info. unknown;	Business Record.
25			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
26			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
27			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
28			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	that Officer Bell did not comply
20	L	1	11111 002 00 011	

1			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
2			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies.
3			improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
4				(Case No. CV 07-
5				873 CAS (VBKX),
6				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
7				2000).
8	171.	LD20-MOD 5 [AGO	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
9		1308]	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
			FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
10			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
11			prejudicial,	Findings which
12			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
13			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
14			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
15			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies. Phillips v. Bratton,
16			improper opinion.	2008 WL
17				11409876, at *10
18				(Case No. CV 07-
19				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
20				2008).
21	1.70	1 D20 1 (D) ([1 C)	D.M. 1	0.07 : 1.7
	172.	LD20-MOD 6 [AGO 1309]	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
22		1307]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
23			info. unknown;	Business Record.
24			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
25			prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
26			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
27			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
28		<u> </u>	peculation, lacks	WIMI CIII B

1			foundation; FRE	Policies.
2			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
3			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
				(Case No. CV 07-
4				873 CAS (VBKX),
5				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
6				2008).
7	173.	Deadly [AGO 1310]	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
8			FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
9			FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
			info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
10			prejudicial,	Findings which
11			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
12			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
13			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
14			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
15			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies.
			improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
16				11409876, at *10
17				(Case No. CV 07-
18				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
19				2008).
20				
21	174.	Non-deadly [AGO 1311]	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
22			FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
			info. unknown;	Business Record.
23			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
24			prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
25			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
26			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
27			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
28			foundation; FRE	Policies.
_0			·	1

1 2			701 & 702 improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
3				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
4				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
5				2008).
6	175.	Media1.wmv -	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
7		Training Video CHP	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
8		Officers Gunned Down[AGO 1312].	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
9		_	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
10			prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
11			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
12			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
13			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
15			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
16			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
17				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
18				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
19				2008).
20	176.	Media2.mwv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
21		Training Video Keeping Safe	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
22		Distance [AGO 1313].	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
23		1313].	prejudicial,	Findings which
24			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
25			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
26			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
27			foundation; FRE	Policies.
28	<u> </u>		701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,

1			improper opinion.	2008 WL
2				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
3				873 CAS (VBKX),
				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
4				2008).
5				
6	177.	Media3.mwv -	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
7		Training Video Officer Shot Upon	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
8		Approaching Vehicle	info. unknown;	Business Record.
		Window[AGO 1314].	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
9			prejudicial,	Findings which
10			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
11			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
12			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
13			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
14			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
			improper opinion.	2008 WL
15				11409876, at *10
16				(Case No. CV 07-
17				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
18				2008).
19	178.	Media4.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
20		Training Video Texas Police Dash Cam of	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
21		Officer Pursuing	info. unknown;	Business Record.
22		Suspects Shot in Face	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
23		[AGO 1315]	prejudicial,	Findings which
			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
24			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
25			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
26			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
27			foundation; FRE	Policies.
			701 & 702 improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
28			improper opinion.	ZOOO WL

40 Case No.: 5:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR AMENDED JOINT EXHIBIT LIST

1 2				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
3				873 CAS (VBKX),
				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
4				2000).
5	179.	Media5.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
6		Training Video Cop	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
7		Indicted in Baton Beating [AGO 1316]	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
		Beating [AGO 1310]	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
8			prejudicial,	Findings which
9			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
10			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
11			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
12			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
13			foundation; FRE	Policies.
			701 & 702 improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
14			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
15				(Case No. CV 07-
16				873 CAS (VBKX),
17				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
18				2006).
	180.	Media6.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
19		Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
20		Deputy Shoots and Kills Suspect After	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
21		Scuffle in Florida	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
22		[AGO 1317]	prejudicial,	Findings which
23			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
24			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
25			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
26			foundation; FRE	Policies.
27			701 & 702 improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
28			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
20	L	<u> </u>	1	, -

1				(Case No. CV 07-
2				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
3				2008).
4	181.	Media7.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
5		Training Video Long	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
6		Beach Police Caught	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
7		on Camera Bating Suspect with Baton	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
8		[AGO 1318]	prejudicial,	Findings which
9			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
10			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
11			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
12			foundation; FRE	Policies.
13			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
14			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
				(Case No. CV 07-
15				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
16				2008).
17	102	Modialy	P MIL 1.	Official Passed
18	182.	Media8.wmv – Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
19		Protesters Pepper	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
20		Sprayed [AGO 1319]	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
21			prejudicial,	Findings which
22			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
23			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
24			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
25			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
26			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
27			improper opinion.	2008 WL
28				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
20			ı	

1				873 CAS (VBKX),
2				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
3				2000).
4	183.	Media9.wmv	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
5		Training Video Arrest for Failure to	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
6		Stop When Signaled	info. unknown;	Business Record.
7		by Police [AGO	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
8		[1320]	prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
9			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	that Officer Bell did not comply
10			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
11			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies.
12			improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
13				11409876, at *10
14				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
15				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
16				2008).
17	184.	Media10.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
18		Training Video CHP	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
19		Tasered Woman Goes into Cardiac	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
20		Arrest During Traffic	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
21		Stop [AGO 1321]	prejudicial,	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
22			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	expert's opinion
23			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
24			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
			foundation; FRE	Policies.
25			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
26			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
27				(Case No. CV 07-
28				873 CAS (VBKX),

1				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
2				2008).
3	185.	Medial1.wmv -	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
4		Training Video Oregon State Police	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
5		Video Captures Fatal	info. unknown;	Business Record.
6		Freeway Shooting	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
7		[AGO 1322]	prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
8			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
9			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
10			foundation; FRE	Policies.
11			701 & 702 improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
12			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
13				(Case No. CV 07-
14				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
15				2008).
16	186.	Media12.wmv -	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
17		Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
18		Response to Burglary	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
19		Call - Use of Deadly Force - [AGO 1323]	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
20		L J	prejudicial,	Findings which
21			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
22			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
23			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
24			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
25			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
26				(Case No. CV 07-
27				873 CAS (VBKX),
28				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,

1				2008).
2	187.	Media13.wmv -	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
3		Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
4		Fleeing Suspect Shot[AGO 1324]	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
5		51101[7100 1324]	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
6			prejudicial,	Findings which
7			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
8			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
9			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
10			foundation; FRE	Policies.
11			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
12			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
				(Case No. CV 07-
13				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
14				2008).
15	100	M - 1: -15	D MIL 1	Off: :-1 P1
16	188.	Media15.mpeg - Training Video	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
17		Officer Discharges	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
18		Weapon While Suspect is Being	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
19		Handcuffed [AGO	prejudicial,	Findings which
20		[1325]	cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
21			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
22			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
23			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
24			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
25			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
26				(Case No. CV 07-
27				873 CAS (VBKX),
28				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
-0		1		

		T	T	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	189.	Media16.wmv - Training Video Police Detective Pistol Whipped Who Choose Not to Use Force [AGO 1326]	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion that Officer Bell did not comply with CHP's Policies. Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL 11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	190.	Media17.wmv – Training Video Officer Tense Encounter with Murder Suspect [AGO 1327]	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion that Officer Bell did not comply with CHP's Policies. Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL 11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).

1	191.	Media18.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
2		Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
3		Suspect Fleeing Beaten with Baton	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
4		[AGO 1328]	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
			prejudicial,	Findings which
5			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's
6			character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
7			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
8			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
9			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	Policies. Phillips v. Bratton,
			improper opinion.	2008 WL
10				11409876, at *10
11				(Case No. CV 07-
12				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
13				2008).
14	100	25 11 10	D 1 1 1	
15	192.	Media19.wmv - Training Video Long	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
16		Beach Police Caught	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
		on Camera Bating	info. unknown;	Business Record.
17		Suspect with Baton	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
18		[AGO 1329]	prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	Findings which dispute Plaintiff's
19			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
20			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
21			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
22			foundation; FRE	Policies.
			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
23			improper opinion.	2008 WL
24				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
25				873 CAS (VBKX),
26				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
27				2008).
28	193.	Media22.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.

1		Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
2		Traffic Stop Brake	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
3		Light Broken [AGO	info. unknown;	Business Record.
		1331]	FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
4			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
5			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
6			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
7			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
.			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
8			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
9			improper opinion.	2008 WL
10				11409876, at *10
11				(Case No. CV 07-
				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
12				2008).
13				,
14	194.	Media23.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
15		Training Video Marijuana on Suspect	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
16		[AGO 1332]	info. unknown;	Business Record.
		,	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
17			prejudicial,	Findings which
18			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
19			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
20			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
21			foundation; FRE	Policies.
22			701 & 702 improper opinion.	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
23			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
24				(Case No. CV 07-
25				873 CAS (VBKX),
				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
26				2008).
27	195.	Media24.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
28		Training Video Has a	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)

1		Gun[AGO 1333]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
2			info. unknown;	Business Record.
3			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
4			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
5			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
6			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
7			foundation; FRE	Policies.
8			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
9			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
10				(Case No. CV 07-
				873 CAS (VBKX),
11				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
12				2008).
13	196.	Media25.wmv –	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
14		Training Video	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
15		Billings PD Officer Emotional Response	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
16		to Shooting [AGO	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
17		1334]	prejudicial,	Findings which
			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
18			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
19			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
20			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
21			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
22			improper opinion.	2008 WL
23				11409876, at *10
24				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
25				2008).
26	197.	LD 20 V-5.3 [AGO	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
27		1335-1456]	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
28			FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
	l			

1			info. unknown;	Business Record.
2			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
3			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
4			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
5			FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks	did not comply with CHP's
6			foundation; FRE	Policies.
7			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
8			improper opinion.	2008 WL
9				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
				873 CAS (VBKX),
10				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
11				2008).
12	198.	LD20 ECO - (CTC I-	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
13	170.	20) [AGO 1457-	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
14		1467]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
15			info. unknown;	Business Record.
			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
16			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
17			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
18			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
19			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply with CHP's
20			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	Policies.
			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
21			improper opinion.	2008 WL
22				11409876, at *10 (Case No. CV 07-
23				873 CAS (VBKX),
24				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
25				2008).
26	199.	2nd Qtr A&C	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
		example block	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
27		schedule [AGO	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
28		1468]	info. unknown;	Business Record.

1			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
2			prejudicial,	Findings which
3			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	dispute Plaintiff's expert's opinion
4			character evidence;	that Officer Bell
			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
5			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	with CHP's Policies.
6			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
7			improper opinion.	2008 WL
8				11409876, at *10
9				(Case No. CV 07- 873 CAS (VBKX),
10				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
				2008).
11	200.	POST AB 392 835a	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
12	200.	Video.mp4 [AGO	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
13		1469]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
14			info. unknown;	Business Record.
15			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Findings which
16			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
17			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	that Officer Bell did not comply
18			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
19			foundation; FRE	Policies.
20			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton, 2008 WL
21			improper opinion.	11409876, at *10
22				(Case No. CV 07-
23				873 CAS (VBKX),
24				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
25	201.	UOF A&C Safety	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
26		Policy_29582 [AGO 1470-1498]	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
27		11/0 17/0]	info. unknown;	Business Record.
28			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).

1			prejudicial,	Findings which
2			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
3			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
4			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
5			foundation; FRE	Policies.
6			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
7			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
8				(Case No. CV 07-
				873 CAS (VBKX),
9				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
10				2008).
11	202.	2022 UOF A&C	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
12		POST Certified ECO	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
13		[AGO 1480-1487]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii). Business Record.
			info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
14			prejudicial,	Findings which
15			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
16			404 impermissible	expert's opinion
17			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	that Officer Bell did not comply
18			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
			foundation; FRE	Policies.
19			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
20			improper opinion.	2008 WL 11409876, at *10
21				(Case No. CV 07-
22				873 CAS (VBKX),
23				C.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2008).
24				2008).
	203.	Use of Force (UoF	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
25		A&C) Training	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
26		Day.pptx [AGO	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
27		[1499]	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
28			prejudicial,	Findings which
_	-		· - •	

1			cumulative; FRE	dispute Plaintiff's
2			404 impermissible character evidence;	expert's opinion that Officer Bell
3			FRE 602 & 611	did not comply
			speculation, lacks	with CHP's
4			foundation; FRE	Policies.
5			701 & 702	Phillips v. Bratton,
6			improper opinion.	2008 WL
				11409876, at *10
7				(Case No. CV 07-
8				873 CAS (VBKX), C.D. Cal. Jan. 28,
9				2008).
				2000).
10	204.	RCSD Report by Inv.	P MIL 1-2.	Official Record.
11		Manjarrez re Packing	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
12		Controlled	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
13		Substance; 03/07/22	info. unknown;	Business Record.
		[COR 000746-COR 000748]	FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates
14		000740]	cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
15			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
16			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
17			speculation, lacks	and evading arrest
18			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	because plaintiff was aware that he
19			improper opinion.	was aware that he would be sent back
20			improper opinion.	to prison due to
				being a felon in
21				possession of a
22				firearm and
23	205	DCCD D	DMII 1 2	narcotics.
	205.	RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Tox	P MIL 1-2. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
24		Results for Edgar	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
25		Solis; 04/12/22 [COR	info. unknown;	Business Record.
26		000762-COR	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
27		000766]	prejudicial,	Corroborates
			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
28			404 impermissible	Bell's observations

1			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
2			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
			speculation, lacks	and evading arrest
3			foundation; FRE	because plaintiff
4			701 & 702	was aware that he
_			improper opinion.	would be sent back
5				to prison due to
6				being a felon in
7				possession of a firearm and
				narcotics.
8	206.	RCSD Report by Inv.	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
9	200.	Moody re Ballistic	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
10		Vest; 04/01/22 [COR	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
10		000805-COR	info. unknown;	Business Record.
11		000806]	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
12			prejudicial,	Corroborates
			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
13			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
14			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
15			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
13			speculation, lacks	and evading arrest
16			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	because plaintiff was aware that he
17			improper opinion.	was aware that he would be sent back
			improper opinion.	to prison due to
18				being a felon in
19				possession of a
20				firearm and
				narcotics.
21	207.	RCSD Report by	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
22		Dep. Ditfurth re	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
23		Suspect Gun to	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
23		Forensics; 03/03/22	info. unknown;	Business Record.
24		[COR 000883-COR	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
25		000906]	prejudicial,	Corroborates
			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	defendant Officer Bell's observations
26			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
27			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
28			speculation, lacks	and evading arrest
20	L	<u> </u>		mis c. aming arrest

1			foundation; FRE	because plaintiff
2			701 & 702	was aware that he
			improper opinion.	would be sent back
3				to prison due to
4				being a felon in
5				possession of a firearm and
				narcotics.
6	208.	RCSD Report by Inv.	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
7	200.	Moody re Summary	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
8		Report for Edgar	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
0		Solis; 04/13/22 [COR	info. unknown;	Business Record.
9		000990-COR	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
10		000992]	prejudicial,	Corroborates
			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
11			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
12			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	that plaintiff was actively resisting
13			speculation, lacks	and evading arrest
			foundation; FRE	because plaintiff
14			701 & 702	was aware that he
15			improper opinion.	would be sent back
16				to prison due to
				being a felon in
17				possession of a
18				firearm and
19	200	DCCD Donout by Lavy	DMII 1	narcotics.
	209.	RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Evidence	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record. FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
20		to Perris Forensic	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
21		Lab & Armory;	info. unknown;	Business Record.
22		05/19/22 [COR	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
		000993-COR	prejudicial,	Corroborates
23		000994]	cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
24			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
25			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
26			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	and evading arrest because plaintiff
27			701 & 702	was aware that he
28			improper opinion.	would be sent back
20			<u> </u>	l l

to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Suspect Gun Function Test; 05/19/22 [COR 01004] REE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang; 05/19/22 [COR 010040] RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. Official Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics.					
210. RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Suspect Gun Function Test; 05/19/22 [COR 01004] PMIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	1				_
210. RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Suspect Gun Function Test; 05/19/22 [COR 000995-COR 01004] FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. FRE 803(B)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(B)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(B)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 803(B)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting	2				
210. RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Suspect Gun Function Test; Gun Function Test; Official Record. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; O57/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Suspect Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; O57/19/22 [COR 001040] RCSD Report by Inv. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(8)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. PMIL 1. Official Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because pl					-
210. RCSD Report by Inv. Moody re Suspect Gun Function Test; 05/19/22 [COR 001004] 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 19 20 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 19 20 212 Suspect Gun Fire Hold in the Mould be Sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 212 (20) (21) (21) (22) (20) (22) (22) (23) (24) (25) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27	3				
Moody re Suspect Gun Function Test; 05/19/22 [COR 000995-COR 000995-COR 001004] FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because free and info. unknown; FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics.	4	210	DCCD Donout lov Inv	DMII 1	
Gun Function Test; 05/19/22 [COR 000995-COR 001004] FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. PMIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 801 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was avare that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	5	210.			
O5/19/22 [COR O00995-COR O00995-COR O01004] O0			_	_	
7 000995-COR FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 11 12	6		-		` '
prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 10	7		_	-	
cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	8		001004]	prejudicial,	Corroborates
character evidence; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 21 21 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28				-	
FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] PMIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	9			_	I
speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 17	10			,	_
foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 21 21 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 25 26 27 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	11				,
701 & 702 improper opinion. 702 improper opinion. 703 & 703 improper opinion. 704 improper opinion. 705 & 706 improper opinion. 706 improper opinion. 707 & 708 improper opinion. 708 improper opinion. 709 improper opinion. 709 improper opinion. 701 & 702 improper opinion.	12			_	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001040] 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 21 RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [improper opinion.				701 & 702	was aware that he
being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics. 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] 222	13			improper opinion.	would be sent back
possession of a firearm and narcotics. 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001040] 21	14				_
firearm and narcotics. 211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001040] 21 001040] P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	15				
narcotics. 17					-
211. RCSD Report by Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 001040] FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	16				
Dep. Kroll re Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001040] FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii). Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	17	211.	RCSD Report by	P MIL 1.	
Forensic Report Processing the Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 602 & 611 speculation, lacks foundation; FRE 701 & 702 improper opinion. FRE 403 induly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	18		1 0		
Suspect Mustang.; 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR 001040] FRE 403 unduly prejudicial, cumulative; FRE 001040] FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in			Forensic Report		
21 05/19/22 [COR 001037-COR cumulative; FRE 001040] prejudicial, cumulative; FRE defendant Officer Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	19		_	-	
21 001037-COR	20		_		
22 001040] 602 & 611 Bell's observations that plaintiff was actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	21		_	1 0	
speculation, lacks foundation; FRE actively resisting and evading arrest because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in				,	
24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 26 27 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20				speculation, lacks	that plaintiff was
improper opinion. because plaintiff was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	23				
was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	24				
was aware that he would be sent back to prison due to being a felon in	25			improper opinion.	
to prison due to being a felon in					
28 possession of a	21				
II	28				possession of a

1				firearm and
2				narcotics.
	212.	RCSD Report by	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
3		Dep. Torres re Latent	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
4		Print Search & DNA Swab.; 05/19/22	FRE 401 irrelevant info. unknown;	and (iii). Business Record.
5		[COR 001041-COR	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
		001042]	prejudicial,	Corroborates
6		001012]	cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
7			602 & 611	Bell's observations
8			speculation, lacks	that plaintiff was
			foundation; FRE	actively resisting
9			701 & 702	and evading arrest
10			improper opinion.	because plaintiff was aware that he
11				was aware that he would be sent back
12				to prison due to
				being a felon in
13				possession of a
14				firearm and
15	2.1.2	D C C D D	D. CT. 4	narcotics.
	213.	RCSD Report by	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
16		Dep. Torres re DNA Collection; 06/21/22	FRE 801 hearsay. FRE 401 irrelevant	FRE 803(8)(A)(i) and (iii).
17		[COR 001048-COR	info. unknown;	Business Record.
18		001049]	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
			prejudicial,	Corroborates
19			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
20			602 & 611	Bell's observations
21			speculation, lacks foundation; FRE	that plaintiff was actively resisting
22			701 & 702	and evading arrest
23			improper opinion.	because plaintiff
				was aware that he
24				would be sent back
25				to prison due to being a felon in
26				possession of a
				firearm and
27				narcotics.
28	214.	RCSD Report by	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
1	ı			

1		Dep. Kopitzke re	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
2		Rapid DNA Testing;	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
3		06/21/22 [COR 001050-COR	info. unknown;	Business Record.
		001050-COR 001051]	FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates
4		001031]	cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
5			404 FRE 602 &	Bell's observations
6			611 speculation,	that plaintiff was
			lacks foundation;	actively resisting
7			FRE 701 & 702	and evading arrest
8			improper opinion.	because plaintiff was aware that he
9				was aware that he would be sent back
				to prison due to
10				being a felon in
11				possession of a
12				firearm and
13	215.	RCSD Report by	P MIL 1.	narcotics. Official Record.
		Dep. Anderson re	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
14		Canvass Interviews;	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
15		11/02/22 [COR	info. unknown;	Business Record.
16		001055-COR	FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
17		001070]	prejudicial,	
			cumulative; FRE 404 impermissible	
18			character evidence;	
19			FRE 602 & 611	
20			speculation, lacks	
21			foundation; FRE	
			701 & 702 improper opinion.	
22	216.	Certificate of	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
23		Detention Form	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
24		[COR 001071]	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
25			info. unknown;	Business Record.
			FRE 403 unduly prejudicial,	FRE 803(6)(B). Corroborates
26			cumulative; FRE	defendant Officer
27			404 impermissible	Bell's observations
28			character evidence;	that plaintiff was
	1			

1			FRE 602 & 611	actively resisting
2			speculation, lacks	and evading arrest
3			foundation; FRE	because plaintiff
			701 & 702 improper opinion.	was aware that he would be sent back
4			improper opinion.	to prison due to
5				being a felon in
6				possession of a
				firearm and
7	217	Inil Doolsing Info for	P MIL 1.	narcotics. Official Record.
8	217.	Jail Booking Info for Edgar Solis[COR	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
9		001072-COR	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
10		001073]	info. unknown;	Business Record.
11			FRE 403 unduly	FRE 803(6)(B).
			prejudicial, cumulative; FRE	
12			404 impermissible	
13			character evidence;	
14			FRE 602 & 611	
15			speculation, lacks	
			foundation; FRE 701 & 702	
16			improper opinion.	
17	218.	Screenshot of Jail	P MIL 1.	Official Record.
18		Booking Info for	FRE 801 hearsay.	FRE 803(8)(A)(i)
19		Edgar Solis [COR	FRE 401 irrelevant	and (iii).
		001074]	info. unknown; FRE 403 unduly	Business Record. FRE 803(6)(B).
20			prejudicial,	
21			cumulative; FRE	
22			404 impermissible	
23			character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	
24			speculation, lacks	
			foundation; FRE	
25			701 & 702	
26	210	A 1 1/ D' ''' C	improper opinion.	l corr : 1 B
27	219.	Adult Disposition of Arrest & Court	P MIL 1. FRE 801 hearsay.	Official Record.
28		Action for E. Solis;	FRE 401 irrelevant	803(8)(A)(i) and
20	<u> </u>	1100001101101101		

59 Case No.: 5:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR
AMENDED JOINT EXHIBIT LIST

1	03/03/22 [COR	info. unknown;	(iii).
2	001075]	FRE 403 unduly	Business
		prejudicial,	Record.
3		cumulative; FRE	FRE 803(6)(B).
4		404 impermissible	Corroborates
5		character evidence; FRE 602 & 611	defendant Officer Bell's
		speculation, lacks	observations
6		foundation; FRE	that plaintiff
7		701 & 702	was actively
8		improper opinion.	resisting and
			evading arrest
9			because plaintiff
10			was aware that
11			he would be sent back to
			prison due to
12			being a felon in
13			possession of a
14			firearm and
			narcotics.
15			Official Record.
16			FRE
17			803(8)(A)(i) and
			(iii). Business
18			Record.
19			FRE 803(6)(B).
20			Corroborates
			defendant
21			Officer Bell's
22			observations
23			that plaintiff
			was actively resisting and
24			evading arrest
25			because plaintiff
26			was aware that
27			he would be
			sent back to
28			prison due to

Case	5:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR Doo	ument 75 #:1056	Filed 09/24/	24 Page 61 of 61	Page ID
1 2 3 4				being a felon in possession of a firearm and narcotics.	
5					
6	5				
7					
8					
9					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15 16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			<i>(</i> 1 ~	N 522 005	7 HD1/ 775
	61 Case No.: 5:23-cv-00515-HDV-JPR AMENDED JOINT EXHIBIT LIST				